DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 075 483

TM 002 561

AUTHOR

Boone, Shelley S.

TITLE

Humanism and Accountability: Let No Man Draw

Asunder.

PUB CATE

28 Feb 73

NOTE

7p.; Paper presented to American Educational Research Association Meeting (New Orleans, Louisiana, February

22-March 1, 1973)

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS

Educational Accountability; *Educational Objectives;

*Performance Criteria; Resource Allocations;

Speeches; *State Laws; *State Programs

IDENTIFIERS

*Florida

AESTRACT

The concept of accountability held by the Florida Department of Education is discussed. Their concept places a premium on clear thinking regarding educational values, on open communication between the public and the professionals, and on explanation of the manner in which resources available are used. The distinctions and relationships among the three concepts of accountability, responsibility, and authority are discussed. The purpose of accountability is said to be to assure relevant information for decision making. The allocation of resources is continually re-examined, using student growth compared with educational purposes as the guide. The Educational Accountability Act of 1971 of Florida has five major thrusts: (1) the development and implementation of education assessment procedures; (2) the establishment of educational accountability in the public school system; (3) assurance that education programs in the state lead to the attainment of established objectives for education; (4) the provision of information for accurate analysis of the costs associated with public education programs; and (5) the provision of information for analysis of differential effectiveness of instructional programs. (DB)

U.S DEPARTMENT DF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIG
INATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDU-

BARNERO AND ARTHOUGH THE SECOND CO. BOOK TO COLD

The sittle of this symbolius entraned he a monotony bottoment on the account to the sittle of the symbolius possible. I will respond by soying this such a monotony to not only possible, it is exceedingly indused. However, such a marriage is essential.

on a concept of accountability which you find antagonistic to humanistic principles.

I likewise have found much in accountability literature which chills the heart of the humanist.

However, my view of accountability — the one held by the Florida Department of Education — is one which extends a hand of welcome to humanistic values. It invites humanists to articulate their beliefs about education and to incorporate these beliefs into educational planning.

Our concept of accountability places a premium on clear thinking regarding educational values. We want educators and their constituents to explore deeply the goals toward which they are striving. We want to look at meanings, values — the essence of the educational process. We want this to occur in every county, in every community, in every classroom, and in every family. We expect educational purposes to differ — between counties, schools, between classrooms, between families, between individuals. We also expect purposes and goals to change continually. As we think about accountability, we are convinced that a continuous focus on the purposes of education is the key to a viable education system for the State and for each individual learner. I am sure that humanists welcome such a focus.



which the incentate face with the accommoditive revered. I can be formed to a factor of affords to date characters purpose in the form of perfectance of perfect to the behavioral objectives). Here he includes the formal the objectives proposed to so unsatisfactory expressions of the exacutions for phecing objectives in performs a terms make it impossible to express a large portion of their educational goals. Feeduce of the context in which it has been presented, many have considered accountability equivalent to the exclusive use of behavioral objectives. They have therefore concluded that a marriage between humanism and accountability is not possible.

In the Florida Department of Education, we believe that technical requirements are intended to support educational purposes, not to dictate the purposes. We endorse the use of performance objectives to the extent that they serve to state clearly the educational purposes of the State, the school districts, the schools, the teachers, and the individual learners. However, we recognize that there are many educational purposes which are more adequately stated in other terms. For example, some purposes may be best described in terms of the learner's experiences. Therefore, we encourage educators to describe their purposes in whatever terms are most applicable. Our main concern is that purposes be made clear so that educators and their publics can communicate. As a result, the desirability of the purposes can be weighed and the degree of success can be observed.

This leads to a second condition which we consider important in Florida. In addition to clear thinking about purposes, we want an open system. Our schools in Florida are established to serve the people, both individually and collectively. Therefore, we must have open communication between the public and the professionals who man the schools. We must make our purposes clear and explain our activities in terms of those purposes.

In on open spates, inferration and procuses unlike two flows that pro-The winner of students and the public are known. The purpose exposure up to a serare known. There is communication between the elections, inchesionist, and the public at here; regulating educations procuses and their proposes.

Our definition of accountability explosives soon contentions in the entire accountability as, "the process of explaining the utilization of resources in term of their contribution to the attainment of desired results." The "desired results" represent the proposes which schools are endeavoring to neldove. As stated earlier, these purposes may be set forth in whatever terms are most appropriate for a clear explication. In many cases, performance objectives may be the appropriate mode for description. In many other cases, an alternative mode is required. In no case, should technical requirements take precedence over substance in formulating statements of purpose.

There is another point in our definition of accountability which I would like to call to your attention. We say that accountability is a process of explaining. When one is accountable, he explains the utilization of resources in terms of their contribution to the attainment of desired results. Please note that being accountable does not mean that a given set of results is guaranteed. Nor does it mean that failure to achieve certain results carries with it certain automatic penalties. In the Florida Department of Education, when we talk about accountability we are talking about an obligation to explain one's actions — in other words, to explain the manner in which he uses the resources available to him. This explanation is to be couched in terms of the relationship between these actions and the degree to which the purposes have been achieved.

The necessary pre-conditions for accountability are clear purposes and an open system. The purposes must be clear for they constitute the starting point in an explanation of resource utilization. Such an explanation constitutes accountability. The system must be open, allowing a free interchange of information; otherwise, an explanation cannot be made and true accountability is not possible.



In talking alone occominability, there are not objection distinct of a comparability, may be able to the product of the product by, respectibility, and authority. All the profits the energy of the involved in the problem of all the problems of the problems of the problems.

resources in terms of their contributions to the attainment of the desired results.

Responsibility constitutes an obligation to take contain action on to achieve contain results. Authority consists of a prerogative or right to take specifica action. Hence, limitations on authority automotically pose limits on responsibility. One cannot assume responsibility for accomplishing any given purpose unless he has authority to take appropriate actions. One must have authority commensurate with his responsibilities.

The relationship between responsibility and accountability is somewhat different. Under our definition of accountability, one can be held accountable for — that is, be required to explain — various activities or outcomes for which he is not responsible. However, making one accountable — requiring him to explain the use of resources in terms of their contributions to desired results — does not subject him to criticism or penalties when desired results are not achieved unless he also was assigned the necessary responsibility and authority in deploying resources for achieving those results. The purpose of accountability is to assure relevant information for decision-making. Accountability does not justify after-the-fact criticism when certain results have not been achieved unless it was understood in advance by all parties that such results should be expected. Such an expectation, of course, requires that the persons accepting responsibility for achieving the desired results have the necessary authority to take the required actions.

We all know that education is a complex process. It is affected by what occurs in the home and in the community, as well as in the classroom. There are few desired outcomes of education for which a classroom teacher or any one individual can accept full responsibility, regardless of his authority. For this reason, Floyd Christian, Florida's Commissioner of Education, has said repeatedly that individual teachers cannot be evaluated in terms of their pupils' achievement.



It is not now, nor has it every bear, the paid of the force of blocks to use cluster test reason of extensions of sales to the sales before at a server in preference of our instricted extensions, selected intended, selected in terms of educational purposes as established by instricted students, by teeders, by schools, by lead school boards, and by the State Found of Education. Such analyses, which are couried out continually, must conve at the basis for allocating resources at all levels.

Resources to be allocated include time (of teachers and students), space (in other words, facilities), materials, and personnel. The allocation of these resources must be continually re-examined, using student growth compared with educational purposes as the guide. Moreover, the entire process — the explanation of the utilization of resources related to the attainment of desired results — must be made public. This is accountability.

Several sections of the Florida Statutes bear on the question of accountability with the legal basis found in the Educational Accountability Act of 1971. This law has five major thrusts:

- -The development and implementation of education assessment procedures.
- -The establishment of educational accountability in the public school system of Florida.
- -To assure that education programs operated in the public schools of Florida lead to the attainment of established objectives for education.
- -To provide information for accurate analysis of the costs associated with public education programs.
- -To provide information for analysis of differential effectiveness of instructional programs.

Our Florida law reaffirms the responsibility for the State Board of Education to adopt statewide educational objectives and requires the Commissioner of Education to administer statewide assessment and make a public report of results. It requires local school board to issue accountability reports, and requires the eventual



consisted for of made a vector of other two test before its feet attenues.

Mine-the Fier's centilation to lead noted board the responsibility for operating blowning and Security soluble, the local board has accept acceptainty for that appear of condition. In other word, local board on expected to explain the difficulties of recovered in terms of their entribution to the attainment of desired results.

The role of the State is to provide general guidance and support to the districts — guidance to see that minimum literacy standards are not, State supposed needs are addressed, and universal educational opportunities are provided — support in the form of dollars for operations and technical or administrative assistance.

Under technical and administrative assistance, the Department of Education is making major efforts to provide local school districts with consultative services relating to accountability and the development of techniques for assessing costs, resource allocation, and pupil learning. Our consultative services are organized around comprehensive planning efforts by local school districts. In addition to consultative help, the Department provides developmental support for accountability through our Research and Development Section, Title III and other special R and D activities.

Finally, the Department is committed to provide information on the facts and conditions of education in Florida which is relevant, accurate, and comprehensive. I should add parenthetically that the Department of Education is undertaking an extensive program internally which will lead to greater accountability within our agency.

In Florida, we are striving for a State system of education which is fully accountable. We are willing to discuss educational purposes with students, with parents with the business community, with the State legislator — in short, with all who have a vested interest in a viable system of education for the public.



We are striving to make our countillers purposes — In the circums, of the rehable level, at the district level, and at the rate level — as charge flag can parallely be. We are striving to make decid as on a counce addication by comparing ritiant growth with educational purposes. We are striving to provide close explanations — at the flate level, the district level, the rehable level, and the classroom level — of our adjocation of resourcess. We do not intend to make respected of parents, students, teachers, principals, superintendents, school boards, the State Board of Education, the State legislator, or society in general. We are confident that all of these groups are sincere in their efforts to make a better life. We see the accountability movement as an essential force in making education a better part of life.

Back to our question, "Is marriage possible between humanism and accountability?" This marriage is exceedingly natural. Moreover, it is essential. But the wedding must not be performed at the point of a shotgun. Members of the accountability clan and the humanism clan need to get to know each other — to establish trust. They must capitalize on each others' strengths and help compensate for their weaknesses. They must stand together as educational decisions are made.